

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/015,626	12/17/2001	Tohru Takahashi	217190US2S	7544
22850	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			COLON, GERMAN	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2879	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/015,626	TAKAHASHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	German Colón	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 2003.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
.—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3-13 and 16 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 14,15,17 and 18 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on December 03, 2003, has been entered and acknowledged by 1.

the Examiner.

Cancellation of claim 2 has been entered. 2.

3. Addition of claims 17 and 18 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification,

while being enabling for "an auxiliary mask having a number of apertures communicating

individually with the apertures of the effective portion of the shadow mask", does not reasonably

provide enablement for "the apertures of the auxiliary mask having a minor-axis-direction

diameter twice or more as large as the minor-axis-direction diameter of each aperture of the

shadow mask, while providing an individual communication with the apertures of said shadow

mask". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or

with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope

with these claims.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification,

while being enabling for "an auxiliary mask having a number of apertures communicating

individually with the apertures of the effective portion of the shadow mask", does not reasonably provide enablement for "the bridge portions of the auxiliary mask being located individually on the bridge portions of the shadow mask body as to be shifted in the direction of the minor axis by a margin equal to ½ of the minor-axis-direction space between the electron beam passage apertures of the auxiliary mask, while providing an individual communication with the apertures of said shadow mask". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

In regards to claims 17 and 18, said claims are rejected over the reasons stated in the rejection of claims 14 and 15, respectively.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 3-13 and 16 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 1, 3-13 and 16 are allowable for the reasons given in the Office Action mailed September 03, 2003, in light of the amendment filed December 03, 2003.

### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed December 03, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the rejection of claims 14 and 15 is based on a misreading of the claim language and support for the subject matter is provided on the Figures and the

Specification. Further, Applicant argues that the limitation of "while providing an individual communication with the apertures of the shadow mask" is not included in said claims (see Remarks, page 12, lines 2-3).

The Examiner concedes that Fig. 9C is consistent with the limitation of "the apertures of the auxiliary mask having a minor-axis-direction diameter twice or more as large as the minoraxis-direction diameter of each electron beam passage aperture of the shadow mask body,..., the bridge portions of the auxiliary mask being superposed individually on the bridge portions of the shadow mask body", recited in Claim 14. However, contrary to Applicant's remarks, Claim 14 also requires "the auxiliary mask having a number of electron beam passage apertures communicating individually with the electron beam passage apertures of the effective portion [of the shadow mask]". The Examiner notes that Claim 14 is dependent on Claim 1, therefore, it includes all the limitations of independent Claim 1.

The Examiner addresses Applicant's attention to the Arguments/Remarks filed May 02, 2003, Page 4, second paragraph. Applicant states (underlining for emphasis):

Also, Yamamoto fails to disclose a number of electron beam passage apertures (of an auxiliary mask) communicating individually with the electron beam passage apertures of the effective portion, as recited in Claim 1. Instead, in Yamamoto, each slot hole 5a or 5b communicates with two other slot holes on the other plate, not another individual slot hole.

It is clear from the above citation that Claim 1 requires the electron beam passage apertures of the auxiliary mask to communicate with one and only one electron beam passage aperture of the shadow mask.

Figs. 9C and 10C are consistent with the limitations of Claims 14 and 15, but are not consistent with the limitations of Claim 1. For example, Fig. 9C enables for "bridge portions 27

of the auxiliary mask being individually superposed on bridge portions 18 of the shadow mask". However, Fig. 9C does not reasonably provide enablement for "the auxiliary mask having a number of electron beam passage apertures communicating individually with the electron beam passage apertures of the effective portion [of the shadow mask]". Contrary to Applicant's Claim 1, Fig. 9C clearly discloses the electron beam passage apertures 26 of the auxiliary mask communicating with *more* than *one* electron beam passage aperture 12 of the shadow mask.

Likewise, the Drawings and the Specification do not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention recited in Claim 15 and new Claims 17 and 18.

For the reasons given above, the rejection of claims 14, 15, 17 and 18 is deemed proper.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to German Colón whose telephone number is 571-272-2451. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday, from 8:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gc

VIP PATEL

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6